

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICAT	TON NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	11.0 € 1.0 ° AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO. 14
09/	148,72	3 09/04/	98. FARNWORTH	W	MI22-981
021	567		QM12/0923 7	EX	AMINER
WEL	LS SI TE 190	JUHN ROBERTS GREGORY AND	TS GREGORY AND MATKIN	TUGBANG, D	
601	WFIR	ST AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SPO	KANE W	A 99201-38	28	3729	5 .
	•		Service of the servic	DATE MAILED:	09/23/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/148,723 Applicant(s)

Farnworth et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Group Art Unit A. Dexter Tugbang

3729

☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
☐ This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to e is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Claim(s)			
Claim(s)			
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
\square See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □approved □disapproved.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un			
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th	he priority documents have been		
received.received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers)	or!		
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number			
*Certified copies not received:			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority to			
Attachment(s)			
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s)		
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 			
_ 110000 of informal Latent Application, 1 10-102			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	FOLLOWING PAGES		

Application/Control Number: 09/148,723

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

1. It is noted that the applicants' Request for Corrected Filing Receipt (received 1/4/99) has been placed in the application and will be entered into the case prior to the examination and/or first action on merits.

Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-41, drawn to a process of bonding solder balls, classified in class 29, subclass 843;
 - II. Claims 42-44, drawn to a solder ball support apparatus, classified in class 29, subclass 739.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Group II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process of Group I can be performed by hand.

Application/Control Number: 09/148,723

Art Unit: 3729

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. If the applicants elect the invention of Group I, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A, drawn to Figure 1 in Claims 4, 5, 14, 15 and 28;

Species B, drawn to Figure 2 in Claims 16, 17, 19 and 32-35;.

Species C, drawn to Figure 3 in Claims 39 and 40;

Species D, drawn to Figure 4 in Claim 18;

Species E, drawn to Figure 5 in Claims 7, 21, 25 and 38;

Species F, drawn to Figure 6 in Claims 8, 22, 26, 37 and 41; and

Species G, drawn to Figure 7 in Claims 9 and 10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 11, 12, 13, 20, 23, 24, 27, 29-31 and 36 of the invention of Group I are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/148,723 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Art Unit: 3729

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is (703) 308-7599.

LEE YOUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700